# DISTRICT REPORT

Teaching & Learning Conditions Colorado Survey



Prepared for IGNACIO 11 JT Number of respondents (#) 59



# **REPORT CONTENTS**

How to read your report	1
Demographics	2
Report Overview - TLCC Survey	3
Report Overview - Breakdown	6
Insights	9
Results - TLCC Survey	11
New Teacher Questions	11
School Leadership	13
Teacher Leadership	16
Managing Student Conduct	18
Instructional Practices and Support	20
Professional Development	25
Time	28



### **REPORT CONTENTS (CONTINUED)**

Facilities and Resources	31
Community Support and Involvement	33
Overall Reflection	35
District Supports	37
Report Overview - CEI Accelerator Project Module	41
Module Items	43



# HOW TO READ YOUR REPORT

How to get the most from your report

### ABOUT YOUR REPORT

The Teaching and Learning Conditions in Colorado (TLCC) Survey – formerly TELL Colorado survey - is a statewide survey of school-based staff (teachers and building leadership) on their perceptions of the teaching and learning conditions in their schools. Questions were asked about instructional support, professional development, managing student conduct, use of time, leadership, facilities and resources, family and community support, and future plans. Demographic questions were limited to ensure participant anonymity. The TLCC results give you a powerful tool for understanding teachers and leaders' experience in their classrooms and schools. These results may confirm some of what you already know about classrooms and schools, may surprise you with details that you didn't know, and most likely will open up new questions about areas you want to explore further.


### SURVEY DESIGN

The survey is led by the Colorado Department of Education (CDE)and operated through a statewide collaborative that includes the Colorado Association of School Boards, Colorado Association of School Executives, Colorado Education Association, Colorado Education Initiative, Colorado League of Charter Schools, Colorado Rural Alliance and representatives from school districts, universities and researchers. APA Consulting developed the TLCC survey by working closely with the partner organizations, districts and educators in the field. Cambridge Education administered the inaugural launch the survey in January 2018.

### SCORING AND REFERENCE DATA

After responding to demographic questions, educators indicated one of four response options for each item on the survey. Scores in this report are percentages based on the proportion of students who replied "Agree" or "Strongly Agree." Responses to "I don't Know" do not affect favorability ratings. You can see a full breakdown of how all educators responded in the "Results" section. Items on the TLCC have varying levels of meaning by design, so it is not as easy as simply looking at the highest and lowest items to identify strengths and areas of improvement. When examining a school's results, you should think carefully about the priorities of your school(s) and departments, and then identify relative strengths and weaknesses across teachers and schools.

### **USE OF CHARTS & LEGENDS**






total

respondents


# DEMOGRAPHICS

Who took the survey?


The following graphics display how those who took the survey responded to the demographic questions which were included. This page allows you to understand the attributes of the survey respondents.



How many years have you worked in this position?




# How many years have you worked at your present school?





**REPORT OVERVIEW** 









**REPORT OVERVIEW** 













# **REPORT OVERVIEW - BREAKDOWN**


Results Disaggregated by Subgroups

Responses, in this report, are disaggregated in order to reveal potential trends, patterns, or insights that may not be detectable when looking at the responses in the aggregate. This report can help identify important differences in perceptions across different subgroups of respondents.

Results Disaggregated By: Years of Experience




### School Leadership



Teacher Leadership








# **REPORT OVERVIEW - BREAKDOWN**


Results Disaggregated by Subgroups


Responses, in this report, are disaggregated in order to reveal potential trends, patterns, or insights that may not be detectable when looking at the responses in the aggregate. This report can help identify important differences in perceptions across different subgroups of respondents.

Results Disaggregated By: Years of Experience




### PD Professional Development











# **REPORT OVERVIEW - BREAKDOWN**


Results Disaggregated by Subgroups

Responses, in this report, are disaggregated in order to reveal potential trends, patterns, or insights that may not be detectable when looking at the responses in the aggregate. This report can help identify important differences in perceptions across different subgroups of respondents.

Results Disaggregated By: Years of Experience



### DR Overall Reflection



### District Supports

<u>92</u>%

Not Not Enough Enough Data Data	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	
	Enough Enough Data Data	Enough	Enough	Enough		
		Data	Data			
First Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	6-10 Years	11-20 Years	20+ years	


INSIGHTS

Š

Discover important aspects of your report

# HOW INSIGHTS WORK

This page helps you track performance across your district. Each row within the table below shows the construct-level and the overall results for each qualifying participating school. This list is arranged by Overall Results.







# $\sim$

### RESULTS

mentoring).


Item level results from your report


Only delivered to new teachers (1-3 years at current school), these questions relate to specific supports for new teachers (e.g., training,



53%

OVERALL FAVORABILITY










Item level results from your report




### School Leadership

This area is aimed at the school leadership's role within the school, the vision provided and the culture of the building. These items refer to the team that leads the school; they are not limited to the principal.



COMPARE RESULT





# School Leadership (cont)





### School Leadership (cont)








Item level results from your report



This area focuses on the role of teachers as leaders within the school and the level of influence that teachers hold.




OVERALL FAVORABILITY





### Teacher Leadership (cont)










Item level results from your report



🧐 More Managing Student Conduct results on next page



### Managing Student Conduct (cont) COMPARE RESULT 56% Colorado Rules for student behavior are enforced in a consistent Q 37% manner. Distribution of responses 17 59 Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree I don't know Total Results Disaggregated By: Years of Experience 47% 43% 46% 39% 24% 20% 2-3 Years 6-10 Years First Year 4-5 Years 11-20 Years 20+ years 91% Colorado This school is a safe place for students to learn. Q 93% Distribution of responses 14 59 0 Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree I don't know Total Results Disaggregated By: Years of Experience 100% 100% 100% 92% 85% 79%

6-10 Years

11-20 Years

20+ years

First Year

2-3 Years

4-5 Years





Item level results from your report




# s Instructional Practices and Support

This section is aimed at the instructional approach of the school and the intentional supports for various student groups.

OVERALL FAVORABILITY



COMPARE RESULT
























# $\sim$

### RESULTS


Item level results from your report



### Professional Development

This section summarizes the school's general approach to professional development, including alignment with other work, adequacy and types of opportunities.








# P Professional Development (cont)








# PD Professional Development (cont)

### COMPARE RESULT



# Which of the following would be most beneficial for teachers in this school to learn more about?




C










©2018 Cambridge Education



Time (cont) COMPARE RESULT 54% Colorado Teachers have adequate time to analyze and respond to Q 60% student assessment data. Distribution of responses 4 29 18 6 59 Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree I don't know Total Results Disaggregated By: Years of Experience 67% 67% 62% -59% 61% 45% 2-3 Years 4-5 Years 6-10 Years 20+ years First Year 11-20 Years 54% Colorado Teachers have adequate time to support their students' Q 72% social and emotional learning. Distribution of responses 3 38 13 4 59 Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree I don't know Total Results Disaggregated By: Years of Experience 85% 86% 80% 77% 76% 17% First Year 2-3 Years 4-5 Years 6-10 Years 11-20 Years 20+ years 64% Colorado Teachers have adequate time to communicate with their C 2% students' families. Distribution of responses 40 59 Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree I don't know Total Results Disaggregated By: Years of Experience 100% 77% 73% 65% 58% 50% First Year 2-3 Years 4-5 Years 6-10 Years 11-20 Years 20+ years More Time results on next page



# Time (cont)








Item level results from your report

# FR Facilities and Resources

This section focuses on student class size, instructional resources, and safety.




OVERALL FAVORABILITY





### FR Facilities and Resources (cont)










Item level results from your report

# Community Support and Involvement

This section summarizes the school's approach to family and community support and engagement.


OVERALL FAVORABILITY







### Community Support and Involvement (cont)









### RESULTS


Item level results from your report

### Overall Reflection

This area gauges staff's overall impressions of the school, as well as future employment plans.












### RESULTS

Item level results from your report



More District Supports results on next page



### District Supports (cont)

				_		78%
<b>Q</b> The distri	ict clearly describ	es expectati	ons for schools.	100%	Colorado	
	Dist	ribution of respon	202			
1	4	ibution of respons		0 0	5	
Strongly agree	Agree	e		disagree I don't know	Total	
esults Disaggregate?	d By: Years of Experier	nce				
Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	
-			Enough	_	Enough	
Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	
First Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	6-10 Years	11-20 Years	20+ years	
						<b>79</b> %
					Colorado	
	ict provides cons		back to school	83%		
leadershi	p to improve per	formance.		00/0		
	Dist	ibution of some s				
4	3	ribution of respon			F	
1				0	5	
Strongly agree	Agree		Disagree Strongly	disagree I don't know	Total	
esults Disaggregate	d By: Years of Experier	ıce				
Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	
			Enough		Enough	
Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	
First Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	6-10 Years	11-20 Years	20+ years	
						<b>79</b> %
<ul> <li>School le</li> </ul>	aderships' effect	iveness is ac	curately assesse	d oo	Colorado	
	he district's evalu			<sup>u</sup> 83%		
5						
	Dist	ribution of respon	ses			
1	3		1 (	0 0	5	
Strongly agree	Agree		Disagree Strongly	disagree I don't know	Total	
esults Disaggregate	d By: Years of Experier	nce				
Not				Not		
Data	Enough Data	Enough Data	Enough Data	Enough Data	Enough Data	
First Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	6-10 Years	11-20 Years	20+ years	
. Hot loar	20.0010		0.0.10000		20 90010	
		More Distric	t Supports results on next	page		



## District Supports (cont)

							76%
The distri	ct makes princi	pal professiona	l developr	nent a	<b>C7</b>	Colorado	
priority.					67%		
	Di	stribution of response	c				
0	3	2		0	0	5	
Strongly agree	Agree	Disa			ree I don't know	Total	
Results Disaggregate	d By: <b>Years of Experi</b>	ence	-				
Not	Not	Not	Not		Not	Not	
	Enough						
Data	Data	Data	Data		Data	Data	
First Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	6-10 Yea	rs	11-20 Years	20+ years	
							77%
						Colorado	
	ol receives instru		ces on par	with	100%	Colorado	
other sch	ools in the distr	ict.			100%		
	Di		-				
0	4	stribution of response	0	0	4	F	
Strongly agree			Disagree Strong		1	5 Total	
Strongly agree	Agree	L	Jisagree Strong	lly uisagiee	I GOILT KHOW	TOtal	
Results Disaggregate	d By: Years of Experi	ence					
Not	Not	Not	Not		Not	Not	
Enough	Enough			h	Enough	Enough	
Data	Data	Data	Data		Data	Data	
First Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	6-10 Yea	rs	11-20 Years	20+ years	
							84%
						Colorado	
	ct involves prin		ons that di	rectly	100%	Colorado	
impact th	e operations of	their school.					
	Di	stribution of response	S				
0	5		0	0	0	5	
Strongly agree	Agree	e	Disagree S	strongly disag	reel don't know	Total	
Results Disaggregate	d By: <b>Years of Experi</b>	ence					
Not	Not	Not	Not		Not	Not	
Enough			Enougl		- Enough	Enough	
Data	Data	Data	Data		Data	Data	
First Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	6-10 Yea	rs	11-20 Years	20+ years	
		<sup>DS</sup> More District S	Supports results	on next page			



### District Supports (cont)

#### COMPARE RESULT

						86%
				100%	Colorado	
Q District le	eadership takes	steps to solve	problems.	100%		
	D	istribution of response	es			
1		4	0	0 0	5	
Strongly agree	Ag	ree	Disagree Strong	ly disagree I don't know	Total	
Results Disaggregate	ed By: Years of Exper	ience				
Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	
Enough	Enough	Enough	Enough	Enough	Enough	
Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	
First Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	6-10 Years	11-20 Years	20+ years	

# **Q** In which of the following areas (if any) do you need additional support to lead your school effectively?

	Distributio	on of respor	ises							
1 1 0 1	3	1	1	2		1	0	1	0	12
Instructional leadership										Total
School Improvement Plann										
Staffing (hiring etc.)	acher evaluation 📃 Teacher	r remediatio	on/coachii	ng 📕 Data-driv	en dec	ision	ı makiı	ng		
Working with families and o	community 📕 Support for st	tudents' so	cial, emoti	ional and menta	l health	I				





#### **REPORT OVERVIEW**

Your results at a glance

#### **CEI** Accelerator Project Module

REPORT OVERALL FAVORABILITY



YOUR RESULTS

This survey module does not have any construct level results. Please see detailed item results in the following pages.

	Overall
FAVORABILITY AVERAGE	86%
IGNACIO HIGH SCHOOL	92%
IGNACIO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	81%
IGNACIO MIDDLE SCHOOL	N/A



**MODULE ITEMS** 



#### 89% Colorado 100% 1. My district has a shared vision for student learning. Q Distribution of responses 5 Disagree Strongly disagree I don't know Strongly agree Agree Total Results Disaggregated By: Years of Experience - Not- - - - ------ Not-----Not ------Not - - - --Not ------Not - - - - ------ Enough----- Enough-------Enough------- Enough ----Enough- --Enough-Data Data Data Data Data Data 2-3 Years 4-5 Years 6-10 Years First Year 11-20 Years 20+ years 86% Colorado 2. My district leaders actively pursue policies and Q 100% practices aligned to the shared district vision. Distribution of responses 5 Disagree Strongly disagree I don't know Strongly agree Agree Total Results Disaggregated By: Years of Experience -Not----Not- - - - ------ Not-----Not- - - - - --Not - - - - --Not ---Enough-----Enough------- Enough------Enough---Enough Data Data Data Data Data First Year 2-3 Years 4-5 Years 6-10 Years 11-20 Years 20+ years

<b>Q</b> educators	oportunities to <u>outside my sc</u> utions to them	<u>hool</u> to discus	with other is challenges and	d 83%	Colorado	83%
	Di	stribution of respon	ses			
0	4		1	0 0	5	
ongly agree	Agree		Disagree Strongl	y disagree I don't know	Total	
sults Disaggregated I	By: Years of Experi	ence				
				Not Enough Data		
First Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	6-10 Years	11-20 Years	20+ years	
Q 4. My distri decision m	Ū	ue my input in		100%	Colorado	
2		3	0	0 0	5	
Strongly agree						
Strongly agree		Agree	Disagree Strongl	y disagree I don't know	Total	
	By: <b>Years of Experi</b>	0	Disagree Strongl	y disagree I don't know	Total	
		ence	Disagree Strongl Not Enough Data 6-10 Years	Not		
sults Disaggregated I Not Enough Data	Not Enough Data	ence Not Enough Data	Not Enough Data	Not Enough Data	Not Enough Data	
sults Disaggregated F	Not Enough Data 2-3 Years	ence Not Data 4-5 Years e me explicit p	Not Enough Data	Not Enough Data 11-20 Years	Not Enough Data	
sults Disaggregated F Enough Data First Year Q 5. My distri out new ap	Not Enough Data 2-3 Years ict leaders give proaches in n	ence Not Data 4-5 Years e me explicit p	Not Enough Data 6-10 Years Dermission to try	NotEnough Data 11-20 Years	Not Enough Data 20+ years Colorado	
sults Disaggregated R Not Data First Year <b>Q</b> 5. My distri out new ap	Not Enough Data 2-3 Years ict leaders give oproaches in n Di Di	ence Not Data 4-5 Years e me explicit p ty school.	Not Enough Data 6-10 Years permission to try ses	Not Enough Data 11-20 Years 83%	Not Enough Data 20+ years Colorado	88
sults Disaggregated R Not Data First Year <b>Q</b> 5. My distri out new ap 0 ongly agree	Not Enough Data 2-3 Years ict leaders give pproaches in n Di 4 Agree	ence Not Enough Data 4-5 Years e me explicit p hy school. stribution of response	Not Enough Data 6-10 Years permission to try ses	NotEnough Data 11-20 Years	Not Enough Data 20+ years Colorado	
sults Disaggregated R Not Data First Year S. My distri out new ap 0 ongly agree	Not Enough Data 2-3 Years ict leaders give pproaches in n Di 4 Agree	ence Not Enough Data 4-5 Years e me explicit p hy school. stribution of response	Not Enough Data 6-10 Years permission to try ses	Not Enough Data 11-20 Years 83%	Not Enough Data 20+ years Colorado	
Asults Disaggregated R Asults D Asult	Not- Data 2-3 Years ict leaders give proaches in n Di 4 Agree By: Years of Experi	ence 	Not	Not Enough Data 11-20 Years 83% 0 0 y disagree I don't know	Not Enough Data 20+ years Colorado 5 Total	88

	h other educate	<u></u>				
		istribution of respons				
1 Strongly agree	Agr		0 1	disagree I don't know	5 Total	
	ed By: Years of Expen		Disagree Strongly c		lotal	
			Not			
Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	
First Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	6-10 Years	11-20 Years	20+ years	
						9
					Colorado	
<b>Q</b> 7. I value	students' input	in schoolwide	decision making	<b>100</b> %		
		istribution of respons				
1		4		0 0	5	
trongly agree	Ag	Jree	Disagree Strongly	disagree I don't know	Total	
sults Disaggregate	ed By: Years of Expen	rience				
		Not		Not	Not	
Not	Not				1101	
Enough	Enough	Enough	Enough	Enough	Enough	
Enough Data	Enough Data	Enough Data	Data	Enough Data	Enough Data	
Enough	Enough	Enough	-	Enough	Enough	
Enough Data	Enough Data	Enough Data	Data	Enough Data	Enough Data	85
Enough Data First Year	Data Data 2-3 Years	Enough Data 4-5 Years	Data 6-10 Years	Data 11-20 Years	Enough Data	85
First Year	Data 2-3 Years	Enough Data 4-5 Years	Data	Data Data 11-20 Years	Bnough Data 20+ years	85
First Year	Enough Data 2-3 Years for ways to invo	Enough Data 4-5 Years	Data 6-10 Years	Data 11-20 Years	Bnough Data 20+ years	85
Grading Stress Str	Enough Data 2-3 Years for ways to invo	Data Data 4-5 Years	Data 6-10 Years decision making	g	Data Data 20+ years Colorado	85
Enough         Data         First Year         3         8. I look fin my sch         1	Enough Data 2-3 Years for ways to invo nool.	Data 4-5 Years	Data 6-10 Years a decision making ses 0	Enough Data 11-20 Years 9 100% 0 0	Colorado	85
EnoughDataDataDataDataDataDataData	Enough Data 2-3 Years for ways to invo tool.	Data 4-5 Years	Data 6-10 Years a decision making ses 0	g	Colorado	85
EnoughDataDataDataDataDataDataData	Enough Data 2-3 Years for ways to invo nool.	Data 4-5 Years	Data 6-10 Years a decision making ses 0	Enough Data 11-20 Years 9 100% 0 0	Colorado	85
EnoughDataDataDataDataDataData	Enough Data 2-3 Years for ways to invo tool.	Data 4-5 Years	Data 6-10 Years a decision making ses 0	Enough Data 11-20 Years 9 100% 0 0	Colorado	85

